

REVIEW

Fentanyl in cancer pain management: avoiding hasty judgments and discerning its potential benefits

Arturo Cuomo¹

¹Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, Naples, Italy

Abstract

Cancer pain is an important challenge in treatment and requires a rapid onset of action for its control. In particular, breakthrough cancer pain (BTcP) should be adequately controlled with a stable dose of a short-acting oral opioid. Fentanyl is a synthetic, highly selective opioid with many advantageous chemical properties, including high lipophilicity and distinct pharmacokinetic properties. It is recommended for pain management in a variety of settings, including acute pain, chronic pain and BTcP. To date, its variously designed formulations allow non-invasive administration; amongst others, sublingual fentanyl has proven useful in the management of BTcP and in

improving the quality of life of patients with cancer. This review provides an update on the management of BTcP with fentanyl, with consideration of safety, as it remains an important tool in the treatment of cancer pain.

Keywords: breakthrough pain, cancer pain, fentanyl, opioids, quality of life.

Citation

Cuomo A. Fentanyl in cancer pain management: avoiding hasty judgments and discerning its potential benefits. *Drugs Context*. 2023;12:2023-10-2. <https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.2023-10-2>

Introduction

Cancer is often associated with chronic and persistent pain syndromes¹⁻⁴ depending on the type of cancer,⁴ tumour stage, therapies,⁵⁻⁷ disease course and performance status.^{3,8,9} Cancer-related pain is a multidimensional symptom that includes physical, psychosocial, emotional and spiritual components.^{10,11} Poor cancer pain management contributes to worsening quality of life (QOL),¹²⁻¹⁶ can influence adherence to antitumor treatment,¹⁷ has a significant impact on the psychological aspects of life and emotional well-being,^{18,19} and worsens fatigue, sleep disorders, nutritional status, anxiety, depression and social withdrawal.²⁰⁻²⁵ Many patients with cancer require emergency department consultation for acute oncological pain, and a high percentage are admitted to hospital to manage pain, thus increasing healthcare costs.^{26,27} Therefore, patient characteristics and cancer pain patterns (precipitating factors, intensity, duration, frequency and types of pain)^{7,28-30} should be carefully assessed through a detailed history and physical examination using a variety of available and validated tools.³¹⁻³⁵

Despite the detrimental impact of pain on cancer patients, caregivers and healthcare providers, it remains

underestimated and undertreated.³⁶⁻³⁸ Pain is reported by approximately 50% of patients at any cancer stage, with moderate-to-severe pain reported by over 36.0% of all patients.^{36,37} In advanced or metastatic cancer, two-thirds of patients report pain, which tends to worsen.^{36,37,39} In addition, approximately 48% of patients with chronic cancer pain that is stable and controlled by proper treatment also complain of acute transient exacerbation of severe pain, defined as breakthrough cancer pain (BTcP).^{40,41} BTcP can occur spontaneously⁴² or due to a specific trigger,⁴³⁻⁴⁶ with a high impact on daily activities and QOL.^{4,47-50} and frequent access to the emergency department.⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ Proper assessment of BTcP is required by clinicians to ensure suitable, tailored therapy.^{50,55,56}

Cancer pain management

Proper management of cancer-related pain has gained significant attention in recent years because of the increasing focus on 'value-based' medicine,⁵⁷ which is focused on improving the quality of healthcare and the effective and efficient use of healthcare resources, considering the patient-perceived value of an intervention, in line with their preferences and wishes.⁵⁷ Assessing and managing cancer-related pain are recognized as an

important measure of the value of cancer care.^{58,59} The Alliance for Global Cancer Control calls for concerted action to prevent, treat and improve the QOL of patients and survivors worldwide.⁶⁰ However, QOL is a multidimensional concept of the overall state of well-being in relation to the values, environments, and cultural and social contexts in which people live.^{61,62}

The updated World Health Organization's analgesic ladder focused on QOL⁶³ provides precise guidance, based on a correct and comprehensive assessment of the patient, on the choice of tailored treatment that should satisfy the patient, improve their QOL and help reduce healthcare costs.^{3,64–67} The most effective treatment for cancer pain is multimodal, including pharmacological, behavioural, physical, complementary and invasive approaches.^{55,68,69} To provide optimal relief, access to multidisciplinary teams with expertise in all these treatments is essential.^{66,70} Therapies should be individualized based on patient status, comorbidities, drug toxicity profile, prior experience, preferred and indicated route of administration, and formulation.^{59,71,72}

Moderate-to-severe background pain should be managed appropriately according to the recommendations of cancer pain guidelines.^{3,30,55,67,73} The American Society of Clinical Oncology recommends starting opioids at the lowest dose to achieve acceptable analgesia and patient goals, with frequent titration and early management of opioid-related adverse effects.^{74,75} Regular follow-up visits are essential to assess treatment response and adjust treatment if needed, as part of a dynamic process of personalization. Opioid rotation, defined as switching from one opioid to another or changing the route of opioid administration, should optimize pain management.^{76–79}

It is imperative to improve background analgesia, which is a prerequisite for the diagnosis of BTcP.⁴¹ Furthermore, optimization of the analgesic regimen for background pain results in a reduction in the number, intensity and duration of BTcP.^{80–82} The Davies algorithm⁸² is normally useful for BTcP diagnosis in patients with adequately controlled background cancer-related pain in the previous week, described as no pain, or a pain intensity of $\leq 4/10$ on a numerical scale of 0–10.^{41,82,83} Careful and continuous assessment should be ensured for all patients to limit the burden of BTcP, as it is related to the patient characteristics, stage of disease and treatment.^{46,84} Early, targeted, personalized and multimodal pain control is an important predictor of improved pain relief in patients with BTcP.^{85–87}

To provide personalized treatment for BTcP, various fast-acting fentanyl-based formulations (TIRF)⁸⁸ have been developed and are recommended by guidelines^{4,55,89,90} after titration in patients already receiving

maintenance opioid therapy for chronic cancer pain with at least 60 mg of oral morphine equivalent.^{50,88,91,92} According to the Summary of Product Characteristics, TIRF should not be prescribed to opioid-naïve patients, patients with severe obstructive lung conditions or to those with other indications than breakthrough cancer pain.⁸⁸

Transmucosal fentanyl has been introduced because of its more appropriate pharmacokinetics, ideal for the treatment of breakthrough pain episodes characterized by rapid onset (3–15 min), short duration (15–30 min/episode) and moderate-to-severe intensity.^{93–95} TIRF medicines, also called rapid-onset opioids (ROOs), have pharmacodynamics that reflects the sudden onset and short duration of breakthrough pain episodes. Several clinical trials and meta-analyses have documented the efficacy of ROOs in controlling BTcP and superiority over oral morphine that provides a time to pain relief of usually more than 30 minutes.^{90,93,96–98}

A full range of transmucosal fentanyl formulations is now available for the treatment of BTcP, including oral lollipop fentanyl citrate, fentanyl buccal tablet, sublingual fentanyl, fentanyl buccal soluble film and nasal transmucosal formulations.⁸⁸ During clinical trials, post-marketing and real-life experience, no serious adverse events were reported with the use of transmucosal fentanyl formulations.^{99–101} Clinically significant respiratory depression associated with the use of fentanyl can occur in patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and caution should be taken in other conditions potentially predisposing to respiratory depression; naloxone¹⁰² rapidly reverses all the effects of fentanyl and is the drug of choice in these cases.

Gastroenteric symptoms (nausea, vomiting and constipation) and nervous system disorders (dizziness, somnolence, confusion, hypotension and headache) were the most recurrent events reported.⁸⁸ However, it is difficult to distinguish symptoms caused by the various stages of cancer and related therapies or separate adverse events due to the background opioid therapy from those that could be related to transmucosal fentanyl. Dizziness, nausea and somnolence have been reported to be less frequent when fentanyl is released by the nasal route.¹⁰³ Taylor and Gabrail reported a small but significant difference in nose itching, sneezing and taste disturbance 60 minutes after administration of fentanyl pectin nasal spray.¹⁰⁴ Therefore, clinicians may need educational training to select the proper product for the individual patient.^{105–111} Family and carers should also be involved in education, training and support from diagnosis through to survivorship and end of life.^{112–114} Furthermore, improving knowledge of the nature of cancer pain and supporting proper communication about it

reduces the fear of patients and their families, increases adherence to opioid therapy, and reduces opioid abuse or misuse.^{115,116}

To improve adherence to analgesic regimens, patient-related barriers, including cognitive or affective factors, need to be explored and addressed.¹¹ It is also appropriate to communicate the main side-effects of opioids to the patients and encourage them to report the occurrence of adverse events. For example, in the case of fentanyl use, the timing of transdermal patch replacement and the site of application should be precisely explained, and the prevention and treatment of constipation should be anticipated. In the case of TIRFs, careful titration should be implemented, and patients and caregivers should be alerted to the possible occurrence of previously described most common adverse events.^{88,117} Furthermore, patients need to be involved in the decision-making process,¹¹⁸ and clinicians need to be active listeners and empathizers, improve the prescription of pain medications, when necessary, teach non-pharmacological pain management strategies, and reassess pain to improve patient QOL.⁷⁵ Physicians must therefore be aware of QOL measures as part of treatment management.⁴³

Outcomes in pain management as reported by patients

It is increasingly recognized that patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs), including health-related QOL (HR-QOL), can be useful complements to traditional biomedical outcomes (e.g. freedom from disease and overall survival), adding relevant information for cancer decision-making.^{119,120} Patients with cancer can provide their own input to guide treatment using PROMs related to pain. However, the literature shows that, because of ineffective transfer of information and frequent clinician inertia in acting on this information, interventions based on PROMs have achieved only modest reductions in cancer pain intensity.¹¹⁷ Most patients rated the quality of their pain management as high if they received appropriate care, had a safety net, had a relationship with their healthcare team and had effective pain management.¹²¹ Furthermore, a high level of satisfaction with care may influence decisions regarding seeking care, changing healthcare providers or medical schedules, and adherence to prescribed treatments.¹²¹ Conversely, higher patient satisfaction is associated with lower treatment costs and patient switching. Patient expectations are critical in assessing satisfaction with care; therefore, an overall understanding and evaluation of

expectations regarding pain control may facilitate effective intervention.^{121,122}

Patients with the most severe pain in particular need additional research into their needs, and their satisfaction with their level of pain should be further assessed.¹²³ For patients with advanced cancer, whether their pain was 'under control' was determined by their ability to carry out activities or tasks and maintain relationships with family or friends, which was determined by them as individuals.¹²⁴ Therefore, the use of a numerical scale is a simpler and objective method of assessing pain intensity during assessment and follow-up re-evaluations.^{41,124,125}

The NRS is an 11-point scale (0 = no pain and 10 = worst possible pain) for self-reporting pain and is the most commonly used unidimensional pain scale for the assessment of pain intensity or other dimensions such as pain distress or pain interference. The NRS scale has a high correlation with the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), which uses a fixed 10-cm ruler with 'no pain' at the top to 'extreme pain' at the right end.^{126,127}

The Brief Pain Inventory (BPI)¹²⁸ is a self-administered pain assessment tool developed to evaluate cancer pain by assessing pain severity, location, impact on daily functioning and pain relief. For daily interference, the BPI looks at walking ability, general activity, work, relationships with others, enjoyment of life, mood and sleep.¹²⁸ The first version was the Wisconsin Brief Scale,¹²⁹ followed by the development of the Short Form (BPI-SF),¹²⁸ which requires approximately 5 minutes to complete and, based on the available data, had the most robust evidence for selection in the assessment of cancer pain.¹³⁰

In 1992, the RAND Corporation developed the 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36),¹³¹ a set of generic and easily administered QOL measures. The SF-36 version 2 can be used across age, disease and treatment groups to assess the perceptions of adult patients regarding their own health and well-being. The survey consists of 36 items grouped into eight dimensions. It can be self-administered or completed in an interview.^{131,132} An abbreviated version (12-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12v2)) of the SF-36v2 has been shown to be a reliable measure of HR-QOL in adults with self-reported cancer.¹³³

The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Core Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30)¹³⁴ was developed to measure the physical, psychological and social functioning of patients with cancer in order to assess their QOL in clinical trials and multicultural clinical research settings. It includes functional scales (physical, cognitive, emotional, role and social), symptom scales (pain, fatigue, nausea and vomiting), and a global health and QOL

scale.^{134–137} The EORTC QLQ-C30 has been validated in several languages and is used worldwide because of its cancer specificity, multidimensional structure and ease of self-administration in many cultural settings.¹³⁷ The length of the 30 items and some content that may still be inappropriate for advanced illness are potential drawbacks of the EORTC QLQ-C30 when used in palliative care, so the EORTC QOL Questionnaire Core 15 for Palliative Care (EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL) provides a shorter, validated solution.¹³⁸

The Palliative Outcome Scale has emerged as another useful outcome measurement tool for the assessment of QOL and quality of care provided by palliative care service organizations.^{138–140}

Finally, the EuroQOL five dimensions questionnaire (EQ-5D 5L) is a self-assessed, short questionnaire developed to complement other QOL measures and to facilitate the collection of a common data set for reference purposes. The scale measures QOL on a 5-component scale including mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression.^{141,142}

Results from recent studies show that both patients in cancer departments^{44,143} and patients with advanced cancer in palliative care^{144,145} have statistically significant improvements in QOL following tailored BTcP with transmucosal fentanyl formulations. More specific tools are available to help assess the impact of cancer-related pain and BTcP on QOL. The Pain Disability Index (PDI)¹⁴⁶ is a brief instrument designed to assess chronic pain-related disability, providing information that supplements the assessment of physical impairment.

The Alberta Breakthrough Pain Assessment Tool (ABPAT) was developed by Hagen et al.¹⁴⁷ to specifically assess BTcP in the research setting and is often used in conjunction with the BPI or the EORTC QLQ-C30.

Finally, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) is useful and accurate in detecting anxiety and depressive states in patients with cancer and may therefore be applicable in clinical practice to assess pain burden.^{148,149}

In this article, the management of BTcP is reviewed with a focus on its impact on QOL.

Methods

A narrative review was conducted using PubMed, identifying articles between 2013 and 2023 with the search terms: “breakthrough cancer pain” and “quality of life”. Restricting the search to English and human subjects, 82 articles were identified; we then analysed all articles and

selected only those relevant to our research, as shown in Table 1. A manual search of the final reference lists was also performed.

Discussion

As previously reported, impairments in sleep, activities of daily living, pleasure in life, ability to work and social interactions have been reported in patients with moderate or severe chronic pain. In recent years, there has been increasing attention on the impact of BTcP on QOL.^{12–25} Furthermore, the terms “health”, “health-related quality of life” and “quality of life” are used synonymously, and different and incomparable measures are used in the examined studies.^{167,168}

Guitart et al.¹⁵⁰ showed pain reduction and significant improvement in SF-12 and HADS scores on both the depression ($p=0.005$) and anxiety ($p<0.001$) sub-scales at the end of follow-up in 69 patients treated with sublingual fentanyl tablets for BTcP. Additionally, HADS scores decreased, particularly in younger patients.¹⁶⁹ An updated study was published by Guitart¹⁵⁵ in 2018 showing that sublingual fentanyl tablets have good efficacy and safety regardless of the cancer stage and opioid regimen for background pain. Significant improvements in HADS scores were observed in this subgroup analyses of patients with locally advanced cancer or metastatic cancer treated with transdermal fentanyl for background pain whilst, in the same subgroups defined by cancer stage, no significant improvements in SF-12v2 scores were observed.¹⁵⁵

Positive results were found by Ueberall et al.¹⁵¹ in patients treated with fentanyl pectin nasal spray, with significant improvements in BTcP-related limitations in activities of daily living and QOL. SF-12v2 Health Survey scores were significantly higher in patients with severe QOL disability than in those with moderate QOL disability. For BTcP-related disability, the greatest improvements were reported in the modified version of the Pain Disability Index overall QOL and sleep dimensions.

Baek et al.¹⁵² also showed that South Korean patients with BTcP were more likely to complain of sleep disturbance, which was defined as waking up two or more times during sleep, compared with patients without BTcP. A subset analysis of this Korean survey conducted by Kang et al.⁴⁹ showed that patients with moderate-to-severe BTcP had significantly greater interference in daily functioning than those with mild BTcP, and impairment was proportionally greater with increasing BTcP intensity ($p<0.001$).

Hjermstad et al.,¹⁵³ in their observational study of patients with advanced cancer in eight countries, highlighted

Table 1. Main studies considered in the review with a focus on impact of breakthrough cancer pain (BTcP) on quality of life.

Authors	Year	Development method	Country / Region	Number of patients with BTcP	QOL assessment tools	Setting
Guitart et al. ¹⁵⁰	2015	Multicentre, prospective observation post-authorization, open-label study	Spain	69	SF-12v2 Health Survey HADS	
Ueberall et al. ¹⁵¹	2016	Prospective, open-label, non-interventional study	Germany	220	Modified version of the Pain Disability Index	Pain and palliative care centres
Baek et al. ¹⁵²	2016	Multicentre nationwide study	Korea	177	Sleep disorder defined as the frequency of unexpected awakening from sleep	Inpatient setting
Hjermstad et al. ¹⁵³	2016	Observational cross-sectional multicentre study	European Palliative Care Research Collaborative	289	Brief Pain Inventory ABPAT EORTC QLQ-C30	Advanced cancer care centres
Katz et al. ¹⁵⁴	2017	Cross-sectional observational survey	USA	112	Brief Pain Inventory SF-12v2 Health Survey Sheehan Disability Scale, Work Performance Questionnaire Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 Screener Patient Health Questionnaire-2	Community-dwelling patients with cancer
Guitart et al. ¹⁵⁵	2018	Subgroup analyses on data from Guitart et al. ¹⁵⁰	Spain	69	SF-12v2 Health Survey HADS	Locally advanced cancer and metastatic cancer
Ferrer Albiach et al. ⁴³	2019	Real-world data collection	Spain	74	EORTC QLQ-C30 SF-12v2 Health Survey	Bone metastases in every setting
Gonella et al. ¹⁵⁶	2019	Secondary analysis of a multicentre, longitudinal, observational study ¹⁵⁷	Italy	92	ABPAT Palliative Outcome Scale	Palliative care
Yang et al. ¹⁵⁸	2019	Randomized controlled double-arm study	China	58	EORTC QLQ-C30	
de Lucas et al. ¹⁵⁹	2020	Observational, prospective, multicentre study	Spain	49?	SF-12v2 Health Survey MOS-SS ¹⁶⁰	Radiation oncology departments
Pointreau et al. ¹⁶¹	2020	Non-interventional, prospective study	France	92	SF-12v2 Health Survey EORTC QLQ-C30	Patients with head and neck cancer radiation oncology departments

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Authors	Year	Development method	Country / Region	Number of patients with BTcP	QOL assessment tools	Setting
Kang et al. ⁴⁹	2020	Subset analysis of a multicentre, non-interventional, cross-sectional, nationwide survey ¹⁵²	South Korea	438	SF-12v2 Health Survey	Oncology
Cuomo et al. ⁴⁴	2020	Observational, multicentre, cohort study	Italy	154	EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index ¹⁶²	Palliative care units, oncology departments, pain clinics
Matsumura et al. ¹⁶³	2021		Japan	40	EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL	Palliative care (outpatients)
Rodríguez et al. ¹⁴⁴	2021	Prospective, observational, multicentre study	Spain	99	EORTC QLQ-C30	Palliative care (inpatients and outpatients)
Rodríguez et al. ¹⁴⁵	2022	Sub-analysis of a prospective, observational, multicentre study ¹⁴⁴	Spain	95	EORTC QLQ-C30	Palliative care (inpatients and outpatients)
Villaruel et al. ¹⁴³	2022	Observational, prospective, multicentre study	Spain	104	EORTC 5-level EQ-5D version (EQ-5D-5L) Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I) scale ¹⁶⁴ MOS-SS Goldberg Anxiety and Depression Scale ¹⁶⁵ Gijón Social-Familial Evaluation Scale ¹⁶⁶	Oncology departments

ABPAT, Alberta Breakthrough Pain Assessment Tool; EORTC QLQ-C30, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Core Quality of Life Questionnaire 30-item; EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL, EORTC for Palliative Care 15 item; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; MOS-SS, Medical Outcomes Study-Sleep Scale; SF-12v2, 12-item Short-Form Health Survey.

that episodes of BTcP were correlated with significantly worse mean scores on 10 of the 15 functional and symptom scales of the EORTC QLQ-C30.

High interference with activity, mood, ability to walk and work, social relations, sleep and enjoyment of life were also described by Katz et al.¹⁵⁴ amongst community-dwelling patients with cancer pain and BTcP.

Quality of life was assessed using the SF-12v2 in a Spanish study conducted in radiation oncology departments in Spain (CAVIDIOR study).¹⁵⁹ The 79 patients with BTcP who received transmucosal fentanyl (65%) reported an improvement in BTcP control at the end of the evaluation period as well as significant improvements in social functioning, role-emotional status, mental health and

vitality, sleep quality, and caregiver burden. The same outcomes are described by Pointreau et al.¹⁶¹ in a population of patients with head or neck tumours treated with fentanyl pectin nasal spray for BTcP in French radiation oncology departments. Matsumura et al.,¹⁶³ using the Japanese version of the EORTC QOL Questionnaire Core 15 for Palliative Care (EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL), also concluded that breakthrough pain relief appears to be an important measure to improve QOL in outpatients with advanced cancer.

The aim of the recent CAVIDIOM study¹⁴³ was to comprehensively assess QOL in patients with BTcP treated in medical oncology services. QOL improved significantly ($p < 0.001$) in patients receiving ROO therapy at tailored doses (67–800 µg) at the end of the study. In addition,

statistically significant correlations were found between three EQ-5D-5L dimensions (mobility, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression) and several MOS-SS dimensions, with an overall improvement in sleep quality.

In this study,¹⁴³ the overall patient improvement in QOL was rated similarly by patients and physicians: 'much better' was chosen by 36% of patients and 34% of physicians, whilst 'a little better' was chosen by 27% of patients and 30% of physicians. The result was different in the CAVIDIOR study,¹⁵⁹ where more physicians (81.9%) responded positively than patients (43.6%). The CAVIDIOM study authors¹⁴³ suggested that patient and clinician perceptions of QOL improvement may vary based on care setting, being more similar in medical oncology units than palliative care and radiation oncology units.¹⁴³

In the CAVIDIOPAL study,¹⁴⁴ including patients with advanced cancer and after 28 days of individualized BTcP therapy mainly with low-dose transmucosal fentanyl, Rodríguez et al. showed very significant improvements ($p < 0.001$) in almost all domains of EORTC QLQ-C30. An additional analysis from CAVIDIOPAL¹⁴⁵ showed that low-dose sublingual fentanyl effectively reduced BTcP in patients with advanced cancer in palliative care units, significantly improving QOL. According to patient and physician perspectives, 85.3% and 83.1% of patients, respectively, reported some degree of improvement. The authors therefore concluded that low sublingual doses (67 µg, 100 µg and 133 µg) and careful titration appear to be an appropriate option in elderly or frail patients.¹⁴⁵ Sublingual fentanyl may be a valid and safe option for patients with mucositis because absorption rates from buccal fentanyl are similar in patients with and without mucositis.¹⁷⁰

The BEST multicentre observational study⁴⁴ in palliative care, oncology and pain clinics enrolled 154 Italian patients with BTcP. The individualized treatment of BTcP with transmucosal fentanyl led to a significant improvement in all physical and emotional characteristics of the EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL, except for nausea and vomiting and dyspnoea. In addition, at the end of the study, significant improvements were seen in global health ($p = 0.002$) and sleep quality as assessed by the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.¹⁶²

Gonella et al.,¹⁵⁶ pooling all Palliative Outcome Scale items, found no difference in QOL between patients with predictable and spontaneous BTcP, suggesting that patients with predictable BTcP are more likely to report interference with activities of daily living, whereas those with unpredictable BTcP are more affected in the sleep and mood domains.

There is evidence that QOL is rarely included as a treatment outcome for BTcP in patients with metastases.

Ferrer Albiach et al.⁴³ enrolled 386 patients with bone metastases and BTcP in any care setting in Spain. More than 50% of patients reported unpredictable BTcP and 44.3% experienced predictable BTcP episodes. In this study,⁴³ a ROO was the treatment of choice for bone metastatic BTcP. In the palliative care setting, the overall percentage of sublingual fentanyl prescriptions was higher.

Finally, a recent study conducted in China by Yang et al.¹⁵⁸ to evaluate the effectiveness of pain management using a new app, called Pain Guard, has assessed the feasibility and changes in patient QOL and improvements in the management of BTcP. This innovative real-time pain assessment mechanism and electronic reporting system appears to be more effective in collecting pain data, enhancing medication adherence and optimal BTcP management as well as improving QOL.

Multidisciplinary approaches may also be useful to tailor the management of BTcP episodes to individual characteristics and to ensure close follow-up, reorganizing interdisciplinary tasks around patient-centred care and outcomes.^{66,70,143,171}

The integration of early palliative care interventions has a positive impact on the QOL of patients, survivors and carers, and a systemic model of early supportive care should be implemented.¹⁷²⁻¹⁷⁵ In the same way, improvements in QOL have been reported in patients with cancer receiving palliative care, particularly in symptoms such as pain, nausea and fatigue as well as in physical and psychological functioning.¹⁷⁶

In addition, patients were often in doubt about the use of prescribed long-acting opioids to treat cancer pain due to their perception of the risks and benefits, and they defined their own parameters for opioid use. Therefore, education and counselling are needed to optimize the use of opioids as part of cancer pain management.^{116,177} For patients in palliative care treated with strong opioids who fear adverse effects and death or dying, better awareness of patient preconceptions about opioids can alleviate suffering by improving pain management and reducing opiophobia.¹⁷⁸ The opioid crisis and the unprecedented rise in opioid abuse in the United States have heightened public fears about the risks associated with opioid treatment and created stigma around opioid users.¹⁷⁹⁻¹⁸² This can be a complicating factor in cancer pain management, as clinicians must strike a balance between satisfying the patient's need for pain relief and minimizing the risk of abuse and misuse.¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁵

However, the opioid epidemic is mainly confined to the United States and geographical areas with specific legislation and where the healthcare system is predominantly based on private healthcare providers.^{185,186} Indeed,

the European Pain Federation Task Force study of trends in opioid prescriptions, substance use disorders and deaths as a proxy for opioid-related harm over the last 20 years shows that Europe is not facing an opioid crisis.¹⁸⁷ Furthermore, the European Drug Survey concluded, in 2017, that there is no evidence to suggest that opioids prescribed to patients with pain are problematic in Europe, and that the increase in opioid prescriptions may represent the satisfaction of an unmet need in cancer pain management and palliative care.^{187,188} In 2019, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development stated that the appropriate use and regulatory environment for prescription opioids can be compatible with increased access to these drugs for medical use.¹⁸⁹ Of note, the American Society of Clinical Oncology supports public health efforts to reduce opiate abuse through government regulation whilst ensuring efficient and compassionate access to analgesics for patients with cancer.¹⁹⁰ Conversely, in Italy, efforts are still being made to ensure access to treatment for all patients suffering from pain, as provided for in Law 38/2010.¹⁹¹ The Italian health system regulates opioid prescribing and pain specialists carefully counsel patients and caregivers.^{111,191–193} However, in the wake of the opioid crisis in the USA, the national regulatory authority has added an 'addictive' warning to opioid packaging,¹⁹⁴ which may exacerbate the under-prescription of opioids for cancer pain, despite Italy having one of the lowest levels of opioid consumption in Europe.¹⁹⁵

Fentanyl and its derivatives, either diverted from medical use or illicitly manufactured, play a comparatively small role in deaths and acute poisonings that occur in Europe.¹⁹⁶ The number of fentanyl-related fatal overdoses appears to be significantly lower in Europe than in the USA, with only 0.5% of people registering with drug treatment services citing fentanyl and its derivatives as their primary drug.^{197,198} Furthermore, most cases of abuse, dependence or withdrawal in Europe are related to non-cancer pain indications and mostly to transdermal formulations.¹⁹⁶ Approximately 60% of patients using intranasal fentanyl and almost 75% of those using transmucosal fentanyl were not diagnosed with cancer, and more than 70% of both groups used no opioids for background pain.¹⁹⁶

Collaborative efforts should still be intensified globally to find solutions that balance our responsibility to reduce deaths and overdoses with the ethical imperative to make opioids widely available to patients who need them to effectively manage chronic cancer pain without arbitrary restrictions on prescribing, dosing or access. Again, more nationwide educational programmes and training for clinicians involved in cancer pain management are needed to improve early diagnosis, appropriate treatment and adequate knowledge of the risks of

overdose or misuse of analgesics.^{110,199,200} Additional efforts should be directed at early identification of patients at risk of addiction²⁰¹ and at raising patient awareness of the need to avoid sharing medicines, to keep opioids under lock and key, and to dispose of expired or unused medicines.^{72,111,201–203} To ensure effective knowledge transfer between all healthcare professionals caring for a patient who may be at higher risk of dependence, the diffusion of personal health electronic records could be decisive.¹⁸⁵

New formulations with 'abuse-deterrent' properties have been developed and commercialized, whilst other formulations are in registration or preclinical trials to ensure high efficacy, good safety and tolerability profiles, and to reduce the risk of abuse, misuse and diversion of opioids prescription.^{90,204–208}

Inequalities in the management of chronic cancer pain persist, with major socioeconomic barriers, such as cultural and language barriers, economic status, geographic location, race/ethnicity and lack of time off work being the most common.^{209–213} Age, gender and physical and mental health comorbidities were also recorded.^{214,215} From a public health and policy perspective, the provision of optimal pain care is paramount because of the potential implications of poorly treated pain and subsequent costs to society. Therefore, close worldwide collaboration between researchers and clinicians and professional organizations is warranted.²¹⁶ Initiatives to improve clinician awareness of inequalities in pain management are also recommended. Sensitivity training, culturally and linguistically appropriate interventions, and collaborative cross-cultural education can help to eradicate disparities.^{217–219}

Finally, additional challenges in oncology, including cancer pain management, have emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic.^{72,220} Fortunately, however, it has also been an opportunity to stimulate the exploration of remote care solutions through telemedicine experiences and emerging technological solutions.^{158,221–225} Telemedicine appears to hold promise for the effective management of patients with chronic pain. This approach can provide tailored pain management, improve access to health services and establish and maintain a long-term therapeutic alliance.²²⁶ Telehealth interventions could also be an effective and alternative method of QOL improvement for cancer survivors with persistent pain.²²⁷ A logical next step in improving cancer care and clinical management is the extension of innovative technologies to the management of cancer pain. However, the impact of telehealth therapies on pain and pain-related conditions remains poorly documented and requires further study and common structured pathways.²²⁸

Outcomes and HR-QOL at the system level can be improved through routine monitoring of patient-reported outcomes using health information technologies.^{228–231} Both clinician and patient experience could be improved by a system that provides a better immersive experience combined with real-time consultation.^{232–235}

Conclusion

The effective management of cancer-related pain is an important measure of the value of cancer care. Improving overall QOL through adequate pain control also improves compliance with cancer treatment and even survival. Timely pain assessment with frequent reassessment, multimodal and multidisciplinary management strategies, and appropriate analgesic prescribing are the major and mandatory interventions to improve cancer pain management and cancer care outcomes.

There is a need for effective strategies to improve the QOL for all patients with chronic cancer pain and for more policies specifically designed to overcome and eliminate inequalities in pain management. A reorganization of multidisciplinary roles with a vision of patient-centred care should be addressed, considering opportunities to develop and apply PROMs and increase early palliative care provision to improve QOL.

Because the 1960s, fentanyl has been one of the most successful opioid due to its favourable pharmacokinetics, and a range of patients, including in the post-operative, paediatric, pre-hospital and critical care settings, have benefited from the wide range of fentanyl formulations. In cancer care, long-acting transdermal fentanyl could be a good choice for control of moderate-to-severe background pain, whilst sublingual fentanyl tablets are an excellent choice for BTcP treatment. Many clinicians believe that, for daily practice, to simplify the management of opioid side-effects, it is helpful to use the same molecule (fentanyl) to control both background pain and episodes of BTcP.

There are still opportunities for the future development of fentanyl-related products, and new fentanyl formulations with novel safety and abuse-deterrent properties are being investigated, following inspiration and warning by the opioid epidemic affecting the USA.

Finally, it would be useful for clinicians, patients and decision-makers to consider future challenges and opportunities offered by digital health in cancer pain assessment and management or by use of artificial intelligence applications in pain research. All the initiatives and measures mentioned in this article, together with patient, family and clinician educational programmes, are aimed at improving the QOL of patients with cancer, which remains the ethical goal to be pursued.

Contributions: The named author meets the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for authorship for this article, takes responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and has given his approval for this version to be published.

Disclosure and potential conflicts of interest: The author declares that he has no conflicts of interest relevant to this manuscript. The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) Potential Conflicts of Interests form for the authors is available for download at: <https://www.drugsincontext.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/dic.2023-10-2-COI.pdf>

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Dr Claudia Laterza, on behalf of Content Ed Net, for medical writing and editorial assistance.

Funding declaration: The initiative was made possible by the unconditional contribution of Angelini Pharma.

Copyright: Copyright © 2023 Cuomo A. Published by *Drugs in Context* under Creative Commons License Deed CC BY NC ND 4.0, which allows anyone to copy, distribute, and transmit the article provided it is properly attributed in the manner specified below. No commercial use without permission.

Correct attribution: Copyright © 2023 Cuomo A. <https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.2023-10-2>. Published by *Drugs in Context* under Creative Commons License Deed CC BY NC ND 4.0.

Article URL: <https://www.drugsincontext.com/fentanyl-in-cancer-pain-management-avoiding-hasty-judgments-and-discerning-its-potential-benefits>

Correspondence: Arturo Cuomo, Istituto Nazionale Tumori – IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, Naples, Italy. Email: a.cuomo@istitutotumori.na.it

Provenance: Submitted; externally peer reviewed.

Submitted: 4 October 2023; **Accepted:** 14 November 2023; **Published:** 14 December 2023.

Drugs in Context is published by BioExcel Publishing Ltd. Registered office: 6 Green Lane Business Park, 238 Green Lane, New Eltham, London, SE9 3TL, UK.

BioExcel Publishing Limited is registered in England Number 10038393. VAT GB 252 7720 07.

For all manuscript and submissions enquiries, contact the Editorial office editorial@drugsincontext.com

For all permissions, rights, and reprints, contact David Hughes david.hughes@bioexcelpublishing.com

References

1. Portenoy RK, Ahmed E. Cancer pain syndromes. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2018;32(3):371–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hoc.2018.01.002>
2. Glare P, Aubrey K, Gulati A, Lee YC, Moryl N, Overton S. Pharmacologic management of persistent pain in cancer survivors. *Drugs.* 2022;82(3):275–291. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-022-01675-6>
3. Bennett MI, Kaasa S, Barke A, Korwisi B, Rief W, Treede RD. The IASP classification of chronic pain for ICD-11: chronic cancer-related pain. *Pain.* 2019;160(1):38–44. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001363>
4. Cuomo A, Boutis A, Colonese F, Nocerino D. High-rate breakthrough cancer pain and tumour characteristics – literature review and case series. *Drugs Context.* 2023;12:2022-11-1. <https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.2022-11-1>
5. Avallone A, Bimonte S, Cardone C, Cascella M, Cuomo A. Pathophysiology and therapeutic perspectives for chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Anticancer Res.* 2022;42(10):4667–4678. <https://doi.org/10.21873/anticancer.15971>
6. Brown TJ, Sedhom R, Gupta A. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *JAMA Oncol.* 2019;5(5):750. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.6771>
7. Moloney NA, Lenoir D. Assessment of neuropathic pain following cancer treatment. *Anat Rec.* 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.25161>
8. Dropcho EJ. Neurotoxicity of radiation therapy. *Neurol Clin.* 2010;28(1):217–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2009.09.008>
9. Delanian S, Lefaix JL, Pradat PF. Radiation-induced neuropathy in cancer survivors. *Radiother Oncol.* 2012;105(3):273–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2012.10.012>
10. Raja SN, Carr DB, Cohen M, et al. The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain.* 2020;161(9):1976–1982. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001939>
11. Kwon JH. Overcoming barriers in cancer pain management. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(16):1727–1733. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.52.4827>
12. Rodriguez C, Ji M, Wang HL, Padhya T, McMillan SC. Cancer pain and quality of life. *J Hosp Palliat Nurs.* 2019;21(2):116–123. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NJH.0000000000000507>
13. Lin J, Hsieh R, Chen J, et al. Satisfaction with pain management and impact of pain on quality of life in cancer patients. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol.* 2020;16(2):e91–e98. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajco.13095>
14. Mio Pos A, Wainstein AJA, Aleixo M, Vieira BAS, Drummond-Lage AP. Pain treatment and cancer patients: are we heeding quality of life? *J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother.* 2023;37(1):63–71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15360288.2022.2155755>
15. Oh SY, Shin SW, Koh SJ, et al. Multicenter, cross-sectional observational study of the impact of neuropathic pain on quality of life in cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer.* 2017;25(12):3759–3767. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-017-3806-5>
16. World Health Organization. WHO: measuring quality of Life. Introducing WHOQOL instruments. <https://www.who.int/tools/whoqol>. Accessed August 28, 2023.
17. Bossi P, Antonuzzo A, Armento G, et al. What to do and what not to do in the management of cancer pain: a physician survey and expert recommendations. *Cancer Manag Res.* 2021;13:5203–5210. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S310651>

18. Kroenke K, Theobald D, Wu J, Loza JK, Carpenter JS, Tu W. The association of depression and pain with health-related quality of life, disability, and health care use in cancer patients. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2010;40(3):327–341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2009.12.023>
19. Bail JR, Traeger L, Pirl WF, Bakitas MA. Psychological symptoms in advanced cancer. *Semin Oncol Nurs*. 2018;34(3):241–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soncn.2018.06.005>
20. Paice JA, Von Roenn JH. Under- or overtreatment of pain in the patient with cancer: how to achieve proper balance. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(16):1721–1726. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.52.5196>
21. Porter LS, Keefe FJ. Psychosocial issues in cancer pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2011;15(4):263–270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-011-0190-6>
22. Zaza C, Baine N. Cancer pain and psychosocial factors. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2002;24(5):526–542. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924\(02\)00497-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924(02)00497-9)
23. Wang XS, Cleeland CS, Mendoza TR, et al. The effects of pain severity on health-related quality of life: a study of Chinese cancer patients. *Cancer*. 1999;86(9):1848–1855.
24. Theobald DE. Cancer pain, fatigue, distress, and insomnia in cancer patients. *Clin Cornerstone*. 2004;6(1):S15–S21. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1098-3597\(05\)80003-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1098-3597(05)80003-1)
25. Alam MM, Rahman T, Afroz Z, et al. Quality of Life (QoL) of cancer patients and its association with nutritional and performance status: a pilot study. *Heliyon*. 2020;6(10):e05250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05250>
26. Coyne CJ, Reyes-Gibby CC, Durham DD, et al. Cancer pain management in the emergency department: a multicenter prospective observational trial of the Comprehensive Oncologic Emergencies Research Network (CONCERN). *Support Care Cancer*. 2021;29(8):4543–4553. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-021-05987-3>
27. Krishnamani PP, Sandoval M, Chaftari P, et al. The value proposition of observation medicine in managing acute oncologic pain. *Curr Oncol Rep*. 2022;24(5):595–602. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-022-01245-9>
28. Cuomo A, Bimonte S, Forte CA, Botti G, Cascella M. Multimodal approaches and tailored therapies for pain management: the trolley analgesic model. *J Pain Res*. 2019;12:711–714. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S178910>
29. Bouhassira D, Luporsi E, Krakowski I. Prevalence and incidence of chronic pain with or without neuropathic characteristics in patients with cancer. *Pain*. 2017;158(6):1118–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000895>
30. Caraceni A, Shkodia M. Cancer pain assessment and classification. *Cancers*. 2019;11(4):510. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11040510>
31. Fink RM, Gallagher E. Cancer pain assessment and measurement. *Semin Oncol Nurs*. 2019;35(3):229–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soncn.2019.04.003>
32. Karcioglu O, Topacoglu H, Dikme O, Dikme O. A systematic review of the pain scales in adults: which to use? *Am J Emerg Med*. 2018;36(4):707–714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.01.008>
33. Mulvey MR, Boland EG, Bouhassira D, et al. Neuropathic pain in cancer: systematic review, performance of screening tools and analysis of symptom profiles. *Br J Anaesth*. 2017;119(4):765–774. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aex175>
34. Finnerup NB, Haroutounian S, Kamerman P, et al. Neuropathic pain: an updated grading system for research and clinical practice. *Pain*. 2016;157(8):1599–1606. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000492>
35. Lichtner V, Dowding D, Esterhuizen P, et al. Pain assessment for people with dementia: a systematic review of systematic reviews of pain assessment tools. *BMC Geriatr*. 2014;14(1):138. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-14-138>
36. Snijders R, Brom L, Theunissen M, van den Beuken-van Everdingen M. Update on prevalence of pain in patients with cancer 2022: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Cancers*. 2023;15(3):591. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15030591>
37. van den Beuken-van Everdingen MHJ, Hochstenbach LMJ, Joosten EAJ, Tjan-Heijnen VCG, Janssen DJA. Update on prevalence of pain in patients with cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2016;51(6):1070–1090.e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2015.12.340>
38. Greco MT, Roberto A, Corli O, et al. Quality of cancer pain management: an update of a systematic review of undertreatment of patients with cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(36):4149–4154. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2014.56.0383>
39. Boland JW, Allgar V, Boland EG, et al. The relationship between pain, analgesics and survival in patients with advanced cancer; a secondary data analysis of the international European palliative care Cancer symptom study. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2020;76(3):393–402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-019-02801-2>
40. Pérez-Hernández C, Blasco A, Gándara Á, et al. Prevalence and characterization of breakthrough pain in patients with cancer in Spain: the CARPE-DIO study. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):17701. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54195-x>

41. Batistaki C, Graczyk M, Janecki M, Lewandowska AA, Moutinho R, Vagdatli K. Relationship between breakthrough cancer pain, background cancer pain and analgesic treatment – case series and review of the literature. *Drugs Context*. 2022;11:2022-9-4. <https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.2022-9-4>
42. Cascella M, Crispo A, Esposito G, et al. Multidimensional statistical technique for interpreting the spontaneous breakthrough cancer pain phenomenon. A secondary analysis from the IOPS-MS Study. *Cancers*. 2021;13(16):4018. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13164018>
43. Ferrer Albiach C, Villegas Estévez F, López Alarcón MD, et al. Real-life management of patients with breakthrough cancer pain caused by bone metastases in Spain. *J Pain Res*. 2019;12:2125–2135. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S194881>
44. Cuomo A, Cascella M, Forte CA, et al. Careful breakthrough cancer pain treatment through rapid-onset transmucosal fentanyl improves the quality of life in cancer patients: results from the BEST Multicenter Study. *J Clin Med*. 2020;9(4):1003. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9041003>
45. Davies A, Buchanan A, Zeppetella G, et al. Breakthrough cancer pain: an observational study of 1000 European oncology patients. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2013;46(5):619–628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2012.12.009>
46. Mercadante S, Marchetti P, Cuomo A, et al. Factors influencing the clinical presentation of breakthrough pain in cancer patients. *Cancers*. 2018;10(6):175. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10060175>
47. Tagami K, Okizaki A, Miura T, et al. Breakthrough cancer pain influences general activities and pain management: a comparison of patients with and without breakthrough cancer pain. *J Palliat Med*. 2018;21(11):1636–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2017.0675>
48. Mercadante S, Portenoy RK. Breakthrough cancer pain: twenty-five years of study. *Pain*. 2016;157(12):2657–2663. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000721>
49. Kang JH, Koh SJ, Oh SY, et al. Interference with daily functioning by breakthrough pain in patients with cancer. *Support Care Cancer*. 2020;28(11):5177–5183. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-020-05329-9>
50. Davies AN, Elsner F, Filbet MJ, et al. Breakthrough cancer pain (BTcP) management: a review of international and national guidelines. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2018;8(3):241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2017-001467>
51. Caterino JM, Adler D, Durham DD, et al. Analysis of diagnoses, symptoms, medications, and admissions among patients with cancer presenting to emergency departments. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(3):e190979. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.0979>
52. Fortner B V, Okon TA, Portenoy RK. A survey of pain-related hospitalizations, emergency department visits, and physician office visits reported by cancer patients with and without history of breakthrough pain. *J Pain*. 2002;3(1):38–44. <https://doi.org/10.1054/jpai.2002.27136>
53. Laviana AA, Luckenbaugh AN, Resnick MJ. Trends in the cost of cancer care: beyond drugs. *J Clin Oncol*. 2020;38(4):316–322. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.01963>
54. Cascella M, Racca E, Nappi A, et al. Bayesian network analysis for prediction of unplanned hospital readmissions of cancer patients with breakthrough cancer pain and complex care needs. *Healthcare*. 2022;10(10):1853. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10101853>
55. Fallon M, Giusti R, Aielli F, et al. Management of cancer pain in adult patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Annals Oncol*. 2018;29:iv166–iv191. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy152>
56. Vellucci R, Fanelli G, Pannuti R, et al. What to do, and what not to do, when diagnosing and treating breakthrough cancer pain (BTcP): expert opinion. *Drugs*. 2016;76(3):315–330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-015-0519-2>
57. Bae JM. Value-based medicine: concepts and application. *Epidemiol Health*. 2015;37:e2015014. <https://doi.org/10.4178/epih/e2015014>
58. Neufeld NJ, Elnahal SM, Alvarez RH. Cancer pain: a review of epidemiology, clinical quality and value impact. *Future Oncol*. 2017;13(9):833–841. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2016-0423>
59. van den Beuken-van Everdingen M, van Kuijk S, Janssen D, Joosten E. Treatment of pain in cancer: towards personalised medicine. *Cancers*. 2018;10(12):502. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10120502>
60. World Health Organization. WHO global cancer alliance. <https://www.who.int/news/item/04-08-2003-global-cancer-alliance-calls-on-cancer-control-community-to-put-words-into-action-and-save-millions-of-lives>. Accessed August 28, 2023.
61. Nayak M, George A, Vidyasagar M, et al. Quality of life among cancer patients. *Indian J Palliat Care*. 2017;23(4):445. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJPC.IJPC_82_17
62. Phyto AZZ, Freak-Poli R, Craig H, et al. Quality of life and mortality in the general population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1596. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09639-9>
63. Anekar AA, Hendrix JM, Cascella M. WHO Analgesic Ladder. [Updated 2023 Apr 23]. In: *StatPearls*. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing; 2023. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK554435/>

64. Fortner BV, Demarco G, Irving G, et al. Description and predictors of direct and indirect costs of pain reported by cancer patients. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2003;25(1):9–18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924\(02\)00597-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924(02)00597-3)
65. Pérez-Hernández C, Jiménez-López AJ, Sanz-Yagüe A, Mar-Medina J, Larrañaga I, Soler-López B. Observational study evaluating the economic impact of breakthrough pain in cancer patients in clinical practice in Spain: the IMDI study. *Pain Ther*. 2018;7(2):227–240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40122-018-0102-0>
66. Marinangeli F, Saetta A, Lugini A. Current management of cancer pain in Italy: expert opinion paper. *Open Med*. 2021;17(1):34–45. <https://doi.org/10.1515/med-2021-0393>
67. World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines for the pharmacological and radiotherapeutic management of cancer pain in adults and adolescents, 2019. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550390>. Accessed September 3, 2022.
68. Chapman EJ, Edwards Z, Boland JW, et al. Practice review: evidence-based and effective management of pain in patients with advanced cancer. *Palliat Med*. 2020;34(4):444–453. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269216319896955>
69. Finnerty D, O’Gara Á, Buggy DJ. Managing pain in the older cancer patient. *Curr Oncol Rep*. 2019;21(11):100. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-019-0854-7>
70. Porzio G, Capela A, Giusti R, et al. Multidisciplinary approach, continuous care and opioid management in cancer pain: case series and review of the literature. *Drugs Context*. 2023;12:2022–11–7. <https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.2022-11-7>
71. Mestdagh F, Steyaert A, Lavand’homme P. Cancer pain management: a narrative review of current concepts, strategies, and techniques. *Curr Oncol*. 2023;30(7):6838–6858. <https://doi.org/10.3390/currenol30070500>
72. Paice JA. Cancer pain during an epidemic and a pandemic. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care*. 2022;16(2):55–59. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SPC.0000000000000594>
73. Aman MM, Mahmoud A, Deer T, et al. The American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN) best practices and guidelines for the interventional management of cancer-associated pain. *J Pain Res*. 2021;14:2139–2164. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S315585>
74. Wiffen PJ, Wee B, Derry S, Bell RF, Moore RA. Opioids for cancer pain – an overview of Cochrane reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;7(7):CD012592. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012592.pub2>
75. Paice JA, Bohlke K, Barton D, et al. Use of opioids for adults with pain from cancer or cancer treatment: ASCO Guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. 2023;41(4):914–930. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.22.02198>
76. Treillet E, Laurent S, Hadjiat Y. Practical management of opioid rotation and equianalgesia. *J Pain Res*. 2018;11:2587–2601. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S170269>
77. Schuster M, Bayer O, Heid F, Laufenberg-Feldmann R. Opioid rotation in cancer pain treatment. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2018;115:135–142. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2018.0135>
78. Mercadante S, Bruera E. Opioid switching in cancer pain: from the beginning to nowadays. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2016;99:241–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2015.12.011>
79. Wong AK, Somogyi AA, Rubio J, et al. Effectiveness of opioid switching in advanced cancer pain: a prospective observational cohort study. *Cancers*. 2023;15(14):3676. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15143676>
80. Mercadante S, Valle A, Porzio G, et al. Relationship between background cancer pain, breakthrough pain, and analgesic treatment: a preliminary study for a better interpretation of epidemiological and clinical studies. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2013;29(6):667–671. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2013.792247>
81. Mercadante S, Cuomo A. Breakthrough cancer pain: ten commandments. *Value Health*. 2016;19(5):531–536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2016.03.002>
82. Davies AN, Dickman A, Reid C, Stevens AM, Zeppetella G. The management of cancer-related breakthrough pain: recommendations of a task group of the Science Committee of the Association for Palliative Medicine of Great Britain and Ireland. *Eur J Pain*. 2009;13(4):331–338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2008.06.014>
83. Mercadante S, Caraceni A, Masedu F, Scipioni T, Aielli F. Breakthrough cancer pain in patients receiving low doses of opioids for background pain. *Oncologist*. 2020;25(2):156–160. <https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0542>
84. Mercadante S, Caraceni A, Cuomo A, et al. A longitudinal study of breakthrough cancer pain: an extension of IOPS-MS study. *J Clin Med*. 2021;10(11):2273. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10112273>
85. Pantano F, Manca P, Armento G, et al. Breakthrough cancer pain clinical features and differential opioids response: a machine learning approach in patients with cancer from the IOPS-MS study. *JCO Precis Oncol*. 2020;(4):1339–1349. <https://doi.org/10.1200/PO.20.00158>
86. Knudsen AK, Brunelli C, Klepstad P, et al. Which domains should be included in a cancer pain classification system? Analyses of longitudinal data. *Pain*. 2012;153(3):696–703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2011.12.005>

87. Lemaire A, George B, Maindet C, Burnod A, Allano G, Minello C. Opening up disruptive ways of management in cancer pain: the concept of multimorphic pain. *Support Care Cancer*. 2019;27(8):3159–3170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-019-04831-z>
88. US FDA. Transmucosal Immediate Release Fentanyl (TIRF) medicines. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/information-drug-class/transmucosal-immediate-release-fentanyl-tirf-medicines>. Accessed August 28, 2023.
89. Grape S, Schug SA, Lauer S, Schug BS. Formulations of fentanyl for the management of pain. *Drugs*. 2010;70(1):57–72. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11531740-000000000-00000>
90. Schug SA, Ting S. Fentanyl formulations in the management of pain: an update. *Drugs*. 2017;77(7):747–763. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-017-0727-z>
91. European Medicines Agency. Fentanyl. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/effentora>. Accessed August 28, 2023.
92. Miceli L, Bednarova R, Vetrugno L, Cascella M, Cuomo A. Is the limit of 60 mg of oral morphine equivalent daily dose still actual for the access to rapid onset opioids therapy? *Curr Probl Cancer*. 2018;42(3):367–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.currproblcancer.2017.10.005>
93. Smith H. A Comprehensive review of rapid-onset opioids for breakthrough pain. *CNS Drugs*. 2012;26(6):509–535. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11630580-000000000-00000>
94. Zeppetella G, Davies AN. Opioids for the management of breakthrough pain in cancer patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;10:CD004311. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004311.pub3>
95. Velázquez Rivera I, Muñoz Garrido JC, García Velasco P, España Ximénez de Enciso I, Velázquez Clavarana L. Efficacy of sublingual fentanyl vs. oral morphine for cancer-related breakthrough pain. *Adv Ther*. 2014;31(1):107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-013-0086-4>
96. Zeppetella G, Davies A, Eijgelshoven I, Jansen JP. A network meta-analysis of the efficacy of opioid analgesics for the management of breakthrough cancer pain episodes. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2014;47(4):772–785.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2013.05.020>
97. Zeppetella G. Dynamics of breakthrough pain vs. pharmacokinetics of oral morphine: implications for management. *Eur J Cancer Care*. 2009;18(4):331–337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2354.2008.01009.x>
98. Jandhyala R, Fullarton JR, Bennett MI. Efficacy of rapid-onset oral fentanyl formulations vs. oral morphine for cancer-related breakthrough pain: a meta-analysis of comparative trials. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2013;46(4):573–580. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2012.09.009>
99. Mercadante S, Radbruch L, Davies A, et al. A comparison of intranasal fentanyl spray with oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate for the treatment of breakthrough cancer pain: an open-label, randomised, crossover trial. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2009;25(11):2805–2815. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007990903336135>
100. Kress HG, Orońska A, Kaczmarek Z, Kaasa S, Colberg T, Nolte T. Efficacy and tolerability of intranasal fentanyl spray 50 to 200 µg for breakthrough pain in patients with cancer: a phase III, multinational, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial with a 10-month, open-label extension treatment period. *Clin Ther*. 2009;31(6):1177–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2009.05.022>
101. Corli O, Roberto A. Pharmacological and clinical differences among transmucosal fentanyl formulations for the treatment of breakthrough cancer pain: a review article. *Minerva Anesthesiol*. 2014;80(10):1123–1134.
102. US FDA. New guidance to help facilitate availability of naloxone to prevent opioid overdoses and reduce death. <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/fda-voices/fda-issues-new-guidance-help-facilitate-availability-naloxone-prevent-opioid-overdoses-and-reduce>. Accessed November 8, 2023.
103. Dale O, Hjortkjær R, Kharasch ED. Nasal administration of opioids for pain management in adults. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2002;46(7):759–770. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1399-6576.2002.460702.x>
104. Taylor DR, Gabrail N. Fentanyl pectin nasal spray for breakthrough cancer pain. *Future Oncol*. 2012;8(2):121–130. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon.11.146>
105. Camps Herrero C, Reina Zoilo JJ, Monge Martín D, et al. Active study: undetected prevalence and clinical inertia in the treatment of breakthrough cancer pain (BTcP). *Clin Transl Oncol*. 2019;21(3):380–390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12094-018-1925-1>
106. Camps Herrero C, Batista N, Díaz Fernández N, et al. Breakthrough cancer pain: review and calls to action to improve its management. *Clin Transl Oncol*. 2020;22(8):1216–1226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12094-019-02268-8>
107. Koh SJ, Keam B, Hyun MK, et al. Cancer pain management education rectifies patients' misconceptions of cancer pain, reduces pain, and improves quality of life. *Pain Med*. 2018;19(12):2546–2555. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pny039>
108. Wengström Y, Rundström C, Geerling J, et al. The management of breakthrough cancer pain – educational needs a European nursing survey. *Eur J Cancer Care*. 2014;23(1):121–128. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.12118>

109. Vittori A, Cascella M, Petrucci E, et al. Strategies to build and maintain competence in pain management: insights from a SIAARTI survey on educational needs among Italian anesthesiologists. *Pain Pract.* 2023;23(5):501–510. <https://doi.org/10.1111/papr.13207>
110. Villegas Estévez F, López Alarcón MD, Alonso Babarro A, et al. Breakthrough cancer pain treatment in Spain: physicians' perception of current opioids utilization and prescription. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2020;36(8):1383–1391. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2020.1775073>
111. Cascella M, Vittori A, Petrucci E, et al. Strengths and weaknesses of cancer pain management in Italy: findings from a nationwide SIAARTI survey. *Healthcare.* 2022;10(3):441. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10030441>
112. Glajchen M. The emerging role and needs of family caregivers in cancer care. *J Support Oncol.* 2004;2(2):145–155.
113. Chi NC, Demiris G. Family caregivers' pain management in end-of-life care: a systematic review. *Am J Hosp Palliat Med.* 2017;34(5):470–485. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049909116637359>
114. Zhukovsky DS, Soliman P, Liu D, et al. Patient engagement with early stage advance care planning at a comprehensive cancer center. *Oncologist.* 2023;28(6):542–550. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oncolo/oyad015>
115. Street RL, Slee C, Kalauokalani DK, Dean DE, Tancredi DJ, Kravitz RL. Improving physician–patient communication about cancer pain with a tailored education–coaching intervention. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2010;80(1):42–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2009.10.009>
116. Adam R, Bond C, Murchie P. Educational interventions for cancer pain. A systematic review of systematic reviews with nested narrative review of randomized controlled trials. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2015;98(3):269–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2014.11.003>
117. Adam R, Burton CD, Bond CM, de Bruin M, Murchie P. Can patient-reported measurements of pain be used to improve cancer pain management? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Support Palliat Care.* 2017;7:373–382. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2016-001137>
118. Brant PAAFJ, Wujcik PRFD, Dudley WN, et al. Shared decision-making in managing breakthrough cancer pain in patients with advanced cancer. *J Adv Pract Oncol.* 2022;13(1):19–29. <https://doi.org/10.6004/jadpro.2022.13.1.2>
119. Lipscomb J, Gotay CC, Snyder CF. Patient-reported outcomes in cancer: a review of recent research and policy initiatives. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2007;57(5):278–300. <https://doi.org/10.3322/CA.57.5.278>
120. Ge C, Guo K, Li Y, et al. Analysis of patient-reported outcomes in the approval of novel oncology drugs in the United States, 2017–2022. *EClinicalMedicine.* 2023;59:101953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.101953>
121. Beck SL, Towsley GL, Berry PH, Lindau K, Field RB, Jensen S. Core aspects of satisfaction with pain management: cancer patients' perspectives. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2010;39(1):100–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2009.06.009>
122. Laferton JAC, Kube T, Salzmann S, Auer CJ, Shedden-Mora MC. Patients' expectations regarding medical treatment: a critical review of concepts and their assessment. *Front Psychol.* 2017;8:233. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00233>
123. Wang JH, Wang LW, Liang SY, et al. Relationship between prescribed opioids, pain management satisfaction, and pain intensity in oncology outpatients. *Support Care Cancer.* 2022;30(4):3233–3240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-021-06722-8>
124. Gibbins J, Bhatia R, Forbes K, Reid CM. What do patients with advanced incurable cancer want from the management of their pain? A qualitative study. *Palliat Med.* 2014;28(1):71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269216313486310>
125. Webber K, Davies AN, Cowie MR. Disparities between clinician and patient perception of breakthrough pain control. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2016;51(5):933–937.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2015.12.309>
126. Jensen MP, Karoly P. Self-report scales and procedures for assessing pain in adults. In: Turk DC, Melzack R, eds. *Handbook of Pain Assessment.* 3rd ed. New York: Guilford Press; 2011:19–44.
127. Hjermstad MJ, Fayers PM, Haugen DF, et al. Studies comparing numerical rating scales, verbal rating scales, and visual analogue scales for assessment of pain intensity in adults: a systematic literature review. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2011;41(6):1073–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2010.08.016>
128. Cleeland CS, Ryan KM. Pain assessment: global use of the Brief Pain Inventory. *Ann Acad Med Singap.* 1994;23(2):129–138.
129. Daut RL, Cleeland CS, Flanery RC. Development of the Wisconsin Brief Pain Questionnaire to assess pain in cancer and other diseases. *Pain.* 1983;17(2):197–210. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959\(83\)90143-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959(83)90143-4)
130. Abahussin AA, West RM, Wong DC, Ziegler LE. PROMs for pain in adult cancer patients: a systematic review of measurement properties. *Pain Pract.* 2019;19(1):93–117. <https://doi.org/10.1111/papr.12711>
131. Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care.* 1992;30(6):473–483. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005650-199206000-00002>

132. Brazier JE, Harper R, Jones NM, et al. Validating the SF-36 health survey questionnaire: new outcome measure for primary care. *BMJ*. 1992;305(6846):160–164. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.305.6846.160>
133. Bhandari NR, Kathe N, Hayes C, Payakachat N. Reliability and validity of SF-12v2 among adults with self-reported cancer. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2018;14(11):1080–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2018.01.007>
134. Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, et al. The European organization for research and treatment of cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1993;85(5):365–376. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/85.5.365>
135. EORTC European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. EORTC QLQ-C30. <https://qol.eortc.org/>. Accessed August 28, 2023.
136. Groenvold M, Klee MC, Sprangers MAG, Aaronson NK. Validation of the EORTC QLQ-C30 quality of life questionnaire through combined qualitative and quantitative assessment of patient-observer agreement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1997;50(4):441–450. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-4356\(96\)00428-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0895-4356(96)00428-3)
137. Fayers P, Bottomley A. Quality of life research within the EORTC—the EORTC QLQ-C30. *Eur J Cancer*. 2002;38:125–133. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049\(01\)00448-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(01)00448-8)
138. Groenvold M, Petersen MAa, Aaronson NK, et al. The development of the EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL: a shortened questionnaire for cancer patients in palliative care. *Eur J Cancer*. 2006;42(1):55–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2005.06.022>
139. Hearn J, Higginson IJ. Development and validation of a core outcome measure for palliative care: the palliative care outcome scale. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 1999;8(4):219–227. <https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.8.4.219>
140. Rugno FC, do Prado De Carlo MMR. The Palliative Outcome Scale (POS) applied to clinical practice and research: an integrative review. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*. 2016;24:e2764. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1518-8345.0993.2764>
141. EuroQol Group. EuroQol – a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. *Health Policy*. 1990;16(3):199–208. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-8510\(90\)90421-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-8510(90)90421-9)
142. Gusi N, Olivares PR, Rajendram R. The EQ-5D health-related quality of life questionnaire. In: Preedy VR, Watson RR, eds. *Handbook of Disease Burdens and Quality of Life Measures*. New York: Springer; 2010:87–99. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-78665-0_5
143. Villarroel PG, Padró JG, Marquina G, et al. Increased quality of life in patients with breakthrough cancer pain after individualized therapy: the CAVIDIOM study. *Future Oncol*. 2022;18(35):3913–3927. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2022-0758>
144. Rodríguez AT, Núñez Viejo M, Maradey P, et al. Impact of individualized management of breakthrough cancer pain on quality of life in advanced cancer patients: CAVIDIOPAL study. *Support Care Cancer*. 2021;29(8):4799–4807. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-021-06006-1>
145. Rodríguez AT, Viejo MN, Maradey P, et al. Low-dose sublingual fentanyl improves quality of life in patients with breakthrough cancer pain in palliative care. *Future Oncol*. 2022;18(14):1717–1731. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2021-1639>
146. Tait RC, Pollard CA, Margolis RB, Duckro PN, Krause SJ. The Pain Disability Index: psychometric and validity data. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1987;68(7):438–441.
147. Hagen NA, Stiles C, Nekolaichuk C, et al. The Alberta breakthrough pain assessment tool for cancer patients: a validation study using a delphi process and patient think-aloud interviews. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2008;35(2):136–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2007.03.016>
148. Annunziata MA, Muzzatti B, Bidoli E, et al. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) accuracy in cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer*. 2020;28(8):3921–3926. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-019-05244-8>
149. Götze H, Brähler E, Gansera L, Schnabel A, Gottschalk-Fleischer A, Köhler N. Anxiety, depression and quality of life in family caregivers of palliative cancer patients during home care and after the patient's death. *Eur J Cancer Care*. 2018;27(2):e12606. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.12606>
150. Guitart J, Vargas MI, De Sanctis V, et al. Sublingual fentanyl tablets for relief of breakthrough pain in cancer patients and association with quality-of-life outcomes. *Clin Drug Investig*. 2015;35(12):815–822. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40261-015-0344-0>
151. Ueberall M, Lorenz S, Lux E, Raymond V, Perelman M. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of fentanyl pectin nasal spray in patients with breakthrough cancer pain. *J Pain Res*. 2016;9:571–585. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S106177>
152. Baek SK, Kim DY, Kang SY, Sym SJ, Kim YS, Lee JY. A Korean Nationwide Survey for breakthrough cancer pain in an inpatient setting. *Cancer Res Treat*. 2016;48(2):768–774. <https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2015.087>
153. Hjermstad MJ, Kaasa S, Caraceni A, et al. Characteristics of breakthrough cancer pain and its influence on quality of life in an international cohort of patients with cancer. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2016;6(3):344–352. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2015-000887>

154. Katz NP, Gajria KL, Shillington AC, Stephenson JJ, Harshaw Q. Impact of breakthrough pain on community-dwelling cancer patients: results from the National Breakthrough Pain Study. *Postgrad Med*. 2017;129(1):32–39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00325481.2017.1261606>
155. Guitart J, Vargas MI, De Sanctis V, et al. Efficacy and safety of sublingual fentanyl tablets in breakthrough cancer pain management according to cancer stage and background opioid medication. *Drugs R D*. 2018;18(2):119–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40268-018-0231-2>
156. Gonella S, Sperlinga R, Sciannameo V, Dimonte V, Campagna S. Characteristics of breakthrough pain and its impact on quality of life in terminally ill cancer patients. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2019;18:153473541985909. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534735419859095>
157. Campagna S, Sperlinga R, Milo A, et al. The circadian rhythm of breakthrough pain episodes in terminally-ill cancer patients. *Cancers*. 2018;11(1):18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11010018>
158. Yang J, Weng L, Chen Z, et al. Development and testing of a mobile app for pain management among cancer patients discharged from hospital treatment: randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2019;7(5):e12542. <https://doi.org/10.2196/12542>
159. de Lucas RH, Fernández MN, Gómez-Caamaño A, et al. Quality of life in patients with breakthrough cancer pain in radiation oncology departments in Spain: the CAVIDIOR study. *Future Oncol*. 2021;17(8):943–954. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2020-1063>
160. Viala-Danten M, Martin S, Guillemin I, Hays RD. Evaluation of the reliability and validity of the Medical Outcomes Study sleep scale in patients with painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy during an international clinical trial. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2008;6(1):113. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-6-113>
161. Pointreau Y, Bensadoun RJ, Bera G, et al. Patient satisfaction with fentanyl pectin nasal spray in breakthrough cancer pain management during radiotherapy for head and neck cancer. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2020;14:859–868. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S246757>
162. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res*. 1989;28(2):193–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781\(89\)90047-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4)
163. Matsumura C, Yamada M, Jimaru Y, Ueno R, Takahashi K, Yano Y. Relationship between pain scores and EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL scores in outpatients with cancer pain receiving opioid therapy. *Biol Pharm Bull*. 2021;44(3):357–362. <https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.b20-00626>
164. eProvide. Patient Global Impressions scale – change, improvement, severity. <https://eprovide.mapi-trust.org/instruments/patient-global-impressions-scale-change-improvement-severity>. Accessed October 1, 2023.
165. Koloski NA, Smith N, Pachana NA, Dobson A. Performance of the Goldberg Anxiety and Depression Scale in older women. *Age Ageing*. 2008;37(4):464–467. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afn091>
166. Alarcón Alarcón T, González Montalvo JI. The Gijon social-family scale: a useful instrument in the General Hospital [In Spanish]. *Rev Esp Geria Gerontol*. 1998;33(3):179.
167. Karimi M, Brazier J. Health, health-related quality of life, and quality of life: what is the difference? *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2016;34(7):645–649. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-016-0389-9>
168. Kaplan RM, Hays RD. Health-related quality of life measurement in public health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2022;43(1):355–373. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-052120-012811>
169. Guitart J, Vargas MI, De Sanctis V, et al. Breakthrough pain management with sublingual fentanyl tablets in patients with cancer: age subgroup analysis of a multicenter prospective study. *Drugs R D*. 2017;17(3):419–425. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40268-017-0198-4>
170. Finn A. Absorption and tolerability of fentanyl buccal soluble film (FBSF) in patients with cancer in the presence of oral mucositis. *J Pain Res*. 2011:245–251. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S22641>
171. Lockett T, Davidson PM, Green A, Boyle F, Stubbs J, Lovell M. Assessment and management of adult cancer pain: a systematic review and synthesis of recent qualitative studies aimed at developing insights for managing barriers and optimizing facilitators within a comprehensive framework of patient care. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2013;46(2):229–253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2012.07.021>
172. Chandrasekar D, Tribett E, Ramchandran K. Integrated palliative care and oncologic care in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Curr Treat Options Oncol*. 2016;17(5):23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11864-016-0397-1>
173. Temel JS, Greer JA, Muzikansky A, et al. Early palliative care for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(8):733–742. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1000678>
174. Hui D, Kim YJ, Park JC, et al. Integration of oncology and palliative care: a systematic review. *Oncologist*. 2015;20(1):77–83. <https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2014-0312>

175. McPherson CJ, Hadjistavropoulos T, Lobchuk MM, Kilgour KN. Cancer-related pain in older adults receiving palliative care: patient and family caregiver perspectives on the experience of pain. *Pain Res Manag.* 2013;18(6):293–300. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/439594>
176. Kassianos AP, Ioannou M, Koutsantoni M, Charalambous H. The impact of specialized palliative care on cancer patients' health-related quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Support Care Cancer.* 2018;26(1):61–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-017-3895-1>
177. Wright EM, El-Jawahri A, Temel JS, et al. Patient patterns and perspectives on using opioid regimens for chronic cancer pain. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2019;57(6):1062–1070. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2019.02.023>
178. Graczyk M, Borkowska A, Krajnik M. Why patients are afraid of opioid analgesics – study on opioid perception in patients treated for chronic pain. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2017;128(2):89–97. <https://doi.org/10.20452/pamw.4167>
179. Bulls HW, Hoogland AI, Craig D, et al. Cancer and Opioids: Patient Experiences with Stigma (COPEs)—a pilot study. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2019;57(4):816–819. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2019.01.013>
180. Bulls HW, Chu E, Goodin BR, et al. Framework for opioid stigma in cancer pain. *Pain.* 2022;163(2):e182–e189. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002343>
181. Azizoddin DR, Knoerl R, Adam R, et al. Cancer pain self-management in the context of a national opioid epidemic: experiences of patients with advanced cancer using opioids. *Cancer.* 2021;127(17):3239–3245. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.33532>
182. Bulls HW, Hamm M, Wasilko R, et al. Manifestations of opioid stigma in patients with advanced cancer: perspectives from patients and their support providers. *JCO Oncol Pract.* 2022;18(10):e1594–e1602. <https://doi.org/10.1200/OP.22.00251>
183. Mercadante S. Potential strategies to combat the opioid crisis. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* 2019;18(3):211–217. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14740338.2019.1579796>
184. Preux C, Bertin M, Tarot A, et al. Prevalence of opioid use disorder among patients with cancer-related pain: a systematic review. *J Clin Med.* 2022;11(6):1594. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11061594>
185. Biancuzzi H, Dal Mas F, Brescia V, et al. Opioid misuse: a review of the main issues, challenges, and strategies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(18):11754. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811754>
186. Bert F, Galis V, Passi S, Rosaria Gualano M, Siliquini R. Differences existing between USA and Europe in opioids purchase on Internet: an interpretative review. *J Subst Use.* 2015;20(3):200–207. <https://doi.org/10.3109/14659891.2013.878760>
187. Häuser W, Buchser E, Finn DP, et al. Is Europe also facing an opioid crisis? – A survey of European Pain Federation chapters. *Eur J Pain.* 2021;25(8):1760–1769. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1786>
188. Scholten W. European drug report 2017 and opioid-induced deaths. *Eur J Hosp Pharm.* 2017;24(5):256–257. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ejhpharm-2017-001347>
189. Organisation for Economic Co-operation & Development–2019. Addressing problematic opioid use in OECD countries. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/a18286f0-en/1/1/1/index.html?itemId=/content/publication/a18286f0-en&csp_=34900059404c1442c82fdcd9ded59a08&itemGO=oecd&itemContentType=book. Accessed November 8, 2023.
190. Page R, Blanchard E. Opioids and cancer pain: patients' needs and access challenges. *J Oncol Pract.* 2019;15(5):229–231. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JOP.19.00081>
191. Legge 38/2010. Disposizioni per garantire l'accesso alle cure palliative e alla terapia del dolore. Gazzetta Ufficiale n. 65 del 19 marzo 2010. <https://www.parlamento.it/parlam/leggi/100381.htm>. Accessed December 7, 2023.
192. Fornasari D, Gerra G, Maione S, et al. Treatment of chronic pain in Italy: therapeutic appropriacy of opioids and fear of addiction: the situation in Italy vs. USA. *Pharmadvances.* 2020;2(1):31–40. <https://doi.org/10.36118/pharmadvances.01.2020.08>
193. Miceli L, Bednarova R, Rizzardo A, et al. Opioids prescriptions in pain therapy and risk of addiction: a one-year survey in Italy. Analysis of national opioids database. *Ann Ist Super Sanita.* 2018;54(4):370–374. https://doi.org/10.4415/ANN_18_04_15
194. Comunicazione AIFA 2020. https://www.aifa.gov.it/documents/20142/847366/comunicazione_nuove_avvertenze_etichette_oppioidi_22.06.2020.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2023.
195. Mercadante S. Houston, we have a problem of opioid crisis ... and Rome? *J Anesth Analgesia Crit Care.* 2023;3(1):36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s44158-023-00121-7>
196. Jobski K, Bantel C, Hoffmann F. Abuse, dependence and withdrawal associated with fentanyl and the role of its (designated) route of administration: an analysis of spontaneous reports from Europe. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2023;79(2):257–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-022-03431-x>
197. Ciccarone D. The triple wave epidemic: supply and demand drivers of the US opioid overdose crisis. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2019;71:183–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.01.010>

198. Schifano F, Chiappini S, Corkery JM, Guirguis A. Assessing the 2004–2018 fentanyl misusing issues reported to an international range of adverse reporting systems. *Front Pharmacol*. 2019;10:46. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00046>
199. Manchikanti L, Kaye AM, Knezevic NN, et al. Responsible, safe, and effective prescription of opioids for chronic non-cancer pain: American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP) guidelines. *Pain Physician*. 2017;20(2S):S3–S92.
200. Cardarelli R, Elder W, Weatherford S, et al. An examination of the perceived impact of a continuing interprofessional education experience on opiate prescribing practices. *J Interprof Care*. 2018;32(5):556–565. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2018.1452725>
201. Miceli L, Bednarova R, Cuomo A, et al. Prescribing opioids to patients with chronic pain: translation of the Opioid Risk Tool into Italian. *Minerva Anesthesiol*. 2020;86(7):693–695. <https://doi.org/10.23736/s0375-9393.20.14312-8>
202. Natoli S, Vittori A, Cascella M, et al. Raising awareness on the clinical and social relevance of adequate chronic pain care. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;20(1):551. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20010551>
203. Reddy A, de la Cruz M. Safe opioid use, storage, and disposal strategies in cancer pain management. *Oncologist*. 2019;24(11):1410–1415. <https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0242>
204. Romualdi P, Santi P, Candeletti S. Alghedon fentanyl transdermal system. *Minerva Med*. 2017;108(2):169–175. <https://doi.org/10.23736/s0026-4806.16.04930-2>
205. Litman RS, Pagán OH, Cicero TJ. Abuse-deterrent opioid formulations. *Anesthesiology*. 2018;128(5):1015–1026. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000002031>
206. Pergolizzi JV, Raffa RB, Taylor R, Vacalis S. Abuse-deterrent opioids: an update on current approaches and considerations. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2018;34(4):711–723. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2017.1419171>
207. Vardanyan RS, Hruby VJ. Fentanyl-related compounds and derivatives: current status and future prospects for pharmaceutical applications. *Future Med Chem*. 2014;6(4):385–412. <https://doi.org/10.4155/fmc.13.215>
208. Mannaioni G, Lugoboni F. Precautions in the management of opioid agonist therapy: from target population characteristics to new formulations and post-marketing monitoring – a focus on the Italian system. *Drugs Context*. 2023;12:2023-2-6. <https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.2023-2-6>
209. Fiscella K, Sanders MR. Racial and ethnic disparities in the quality of health care. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2016;37(1):375–394. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032315-021439>
210. Berchick ER, Barnett JC, Upton RD. Health insurance coverage in the United States: 2018. <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2019/demo/p60-267.pdf>. Accessed November 9, 2023.
211. Friedman J, Kim D, Schneberk T, et al. Assessment of racial/ethnic and income disparities in the prescription of opioids and other controlled medications in California. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019;179(4):469. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.6721>
212. Anderson KO, Green CR, Payne R. Racial and ethnic disparities in pain: causes and consequences of unequal care. *J Pain*. 2009;10(12):1187–1204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2009.10.002>
213. Siddiqui N, Urman RD. Opioid use disorder and racial/ethnic health disparities: prevention and management. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2022;26(2):129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-022-01010-4>
214. Bimpong K, Thomson K, Mcnamara CL, et al. The Gender Pain Gap: gender inequalities in pain across 19 European countries. *Scand J Public Health*. 2022;50(2):287–294. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494820987466>
215. Stein KD, Alcaraz KI, Kamson C, Fallon EA, Smith TG. Sociodemographic inequalities in barriers to cancer pain management: a report from the American Cancer Society's Study of Cancer Survivors-II (SCS-II). *Psychooncology*. 2016;25(10):1212–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.4218>
216. Crombez P, Bron D, Michiels S. Multicultural approaches of cancer pain. *Curr Opin Oncol*. 2019;31(4):268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CCO.0000000000000547>
217. DeRosa AP, Grell Y, Razon D, et al. Decision-making support among racial and ethnic minorities diagnosed with breast or prostate cancer: a systematic review of the literature. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2022;105(5):1057–1065. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2021.09.012>
218. Ciciriello S, Johnston R V, Osborne RH, et al. Multimedia educational interventions for consumers about prescribed and over the counter medications. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2010;3:CD008416. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008416>
219. Givler A, Bhatt H, Maani-Fogelman PA. The importance of cultural competence in pain and palliative care. In: *StatPearls*. Treasure Island: StatPearls Publishing; 2023. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK493154/>. Accessed November 22, 2023.
220. Yeoh CB, Lee KJ, Rieth EF, et al. COVID-19 in the cancer patient. *Anesth Analg*. 2020;131(1):16–23. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004884>

221. Aapro M, Bossi P, Dasari A, et al. Digital health for optimal supportive care in oncology: benefits, limits, and future perspectives. *Support Care Cancer*. 2020;28(10):4589–4612. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-020-05539-1>
222. Lizée T, Basch E, Trémolières P, et al. Cost-effectiveness of web-based patient-reported outcome surveillance in patients with lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2019;14(6):1012–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2019.02.005>
223. Penedo FJ, Oswald LB, Kronenfeld JP, Garcia SF, Cella D, Yanez B. The increasing value of eHealth in the delivery of patient-centred cancer care. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020;21(5):e240–e251. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30021-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30021-8)
224. Suh SR, Lee MK. Effects of nurse-led telephone-based supportive interventions for patients with cancer: a meta-analysis. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2017;44(4):E168–E184. <https://doi.org/10.1188/17.ONF.E168-E184>
225. Cascella M, Schiavo D, Cuomo A, et al. Artificial intelligence for automatic pain assessment: research methods and perspectives. *Pain Res Manag*. 2023;2023:6018736. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/6018736>
226. Cascella M, Marinangeli F, Vittori A, et al. Open issues and practical suggestions for telemedicine in chronic pain. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(23):12416. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182312416>
227. Li J, Liu Y, Jiang J, Peng X, Hu X. Effect of telehealth interventions on quality of life in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2021;122:103970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2021.103970>
228. Eccleston C, Blyth FM, Dear BF, et al. Managing patients with chronic pain during the COVID-19 outbreak: considerations for the rapid introduction of remotely supported (eHealth) pain management services. *Pain*. 2020;161(5):889–893. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001885>
229. De Groef A, Evenepoel M, Van Dijck S, et al. Feasibility and pilot testing of a personalized eHealth intervention for pain science education and self-management for breast cancer survivors with persistent pain: a mixed-method study. *Support Care Cancer*. 2023;31(2):119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-022-07557-7>
230. Vasquez TS, Bylund CL, Fisher CL, Paige SR. Validation of the transactional eHealth literacy instrument with cancer caregivers. *PEC Innovation*. 2022;1:100075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecinn.2022.100075>
231. Abdigaravand M, Goudarzi F, Hasanvand S, Birjandi M, Galehdar N, Hojati Z. The effect of education and telephone follow-up on the burden of family caregivers of patients with cancer. *SAGE Open Nurs*. 2023;9:237796082311704. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23779608231170444>
232. Cascella M, Coluccia S, Monaco F, et al. Different machine learning approaches for implementing telehealth-based cancer pain management strategies. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(18):5484. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11185484>
233. Cuomo A, Cascella M, Vittori A, Baciarello M, Badino M, Bignami E. Telemedicine for managing cancer pain. A great opportunity to be exploited for clinical and research purposes. *Pain Physician*. 2022;25(6):E886–E888.
234. Cascella M, Scarpati G, Bignami EG, et al. Utilizing an artificial intelligence framework (conditional generative adversarial network) to enhance telemedicine strategies for cancer pain management. *J Anesth Analgesia Crit Care*. 2023;3(1):19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s44158-023-00104-8>
235. Cascella M, Coluccia S, Grizzuti M, et al. Satisfaction with telemedicine for cancer pain management: a model of care and cross-sectional patient satisfaction study. *Curr Oncol*. 2022;29(8):5566–5578. <https://doi.org/10.3390/curroncol29080439>